

Senior Women Leaders' Motivation and Success
In Higher Education Administration in the United States

By
Marie Nakitende

A Dissertation presented in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the
Doctorate of Education in Leadership/Philosophy degree in
Leadership for the Advancement of Learning and Service

College of Education and Leadership

At Cardinal Stritch University

December, 2012

UMI Number: 3552631

All rights reserved

INFORMATION TO ALL USERS

The quality of this reproduction is dependent upon the quality of the copy submitted.

In the unlikely event that the author did not send a complete manuscript and there are missing pages, these will be noted. Also, if material had to be removed, a note will indicate the deletion.



UMI 3552631

Published by ProQuest LLC (2013). Copyright in the Dissertation held by the Author.

Microform Edition © ProQuest LLC.

All rights reserved. This work is protected against unauthorized copying under Title 17, United States Code



ProQuest LLC.
789 East Eisenhower Parkway
P.O. Box 1346
Ann Arbor, MI 48106 - 1346

Dissertation Approval

As members of the dissertation committee for Marie Nakitende, and on behalf of the Doctoral Program at Cardinal Stritch University, we affirm that this report meets the expectations and academic requirements for the E.d.D/Ph.D. degree in Leadership for the Advancement of Learning and Service.

Mette L. Baran 10-30-12
Mette Baran, Ed.D., Chairperson Approval Date

Janice E. Jones 10-30-12
Janice Jones, Ph.D. Approval Date

Eileen Schwalbach 10-30-12
Eileen Schwalbach, Ph.D. Approval Date

As the Dean of the College of Education, and on behalf of the Doctoral Program at Cardinal Stritch University, I affirm that this report meets the expectations and academic requirements for the Ed.D/Ph.D. degree in Leadership for the Advancement of Learning and Service.

Freda Russell 10/31/2012
Freda Russell, Ph.D. Approved Date

PREVIEW

© Copyright by Marie Nakitende, 2012.

All Rights Reserved

Abstract

The purpose of this study was to discover and understand the experiences that influenced women to obtain and persevere in leadership roles in higher education administrative positions. The results enhanced understanding of what motivates women to pursue leadership positions and provided strategies for career advancement and leadership development. This study recognized challenges facing such women, as well as their tactics for overcoming them.

This qualitative phenomenological research study discovered and explored the phenomena as lived and experienced by the participants (Creswell, 1998). The purposely selective sample included 11 women in senior/executive positions in higher education administration. Utilizing a triangulation technique, the researcher collected multiple sources of data, including interviewing, observations, and document analysis.

Findings reveal that the participants were intrinsically moved by a great desire to make a positive difference, to help others, to achieve personal growth and development, and to create and support the organization's mission and vision. An array of extrinsic motivational factors such as academic training and qualifications, mentors, role models, affiliation, promotion, feedback, recognition, and family support were also identified.

To succeed in their careers, the participants identified self-knowledge, strong relationships, self-confidence, faith, mentors, networking, and humor as assets. When faced with complex challenges, they took time to meditate, reflect, consult, and pray before making decisions. These and other attributes explored proved vital for their career success. This study results supported motivational and leadership theories of Maslow's

(1954) Hierarchy of Needs Theory, Burn's (1978) Transformational Leadership Theory, and Bandura's (1986) Self-Efficacy Theory.

PREVIEW

Acknowledgements

Writing this dissertation has fundamentally changed my life. I am deeply grateful to my dissertation chair, Dr. Mette Baran, without her endorsement, encouragements, support, guidance and constructive feedback; I would not be able to reach this milestone. A special appreciation goes to my committee members: Dr. Janice Jones and Dr. Eileen Schwalbach for their support, encouragements and guidance. I will always be grateful to your kindness and unwavering support.

It is hard to find words to thank special people, who believed in me and supported me whole heartedly. A special thank you to Thomas and Lynne VanHimbergen, Arthur and Mary Ann Wigchers, your support and generous spirit have filled me more than you can imagine. Thank you for giving me the opportunity to make my dreams come true. I am deeply thankful the amazing female leaders Sr. Joel Read, Sr. Mary Lea Schneider, Sr. Barbara Reynolds, Sr. Therese MacKinnon, and Dr. Helen Sobehart, who inspired and encouraged me by their wisdom.

Although I dedicated this dissertation to my parents, my deepest gratitude go to my academic professors, fellow learners, special editors of my dissertation, my good Samaritans, friends and benefactors that I may never meet face-to-face , who have played a critical role to my success at Cardinal Stritch University. Thank you all. I have learned much more than I expected. However, without the generosity of 11 excellent senior female leaders, who generously shared their time, successful stories and wisdom with me, I'd not be able to complete this dissertation successfully. Thank you for empowering me. It is my prayer, that the information captured in this dissertation will

inspire and pass on to the next generation of leaders and encourage them make positive difference in the world.

PREVIEW

Dedication

I never dreamed that one day I could successfully complete a research study of this nature! I dedicate this dissertation to my beloved parents, Maria Nalumansi and Remigio Musisi, who believed in me and supported me with their love and prayers from day one. I am also deeply thankful, to Rt. Rev. Matthias Ssekamanya, who encouraged my love of learning and believed that the power of learning can make positive change in the world. It is hard to find adequate words to thank you. Thank you for all you have done for me. I am deeply grateful for the prayers, encouragements, support, and wisdom I have received over the past years on my journey toward this Ph.D. at Cardinal Stritch University. Indeed, God has been with me! Isaiah: 43.

Table of Contents

All Rights Reserved	iii
Abstract	i
Acknowledgements	iii
Table of Contents	vi
List of Tables	xiii
List of Figures	xiv
CHAPTER ONE	1
Introduction	1
Background of the Study	8
Statement of the Problem	11
History of the Problem	15
Current Status of the Problem	18
Purpose of the Study	19
Approach to the Study	19
Research Questions	21
Conceptual Framework of Motivation in Educational Leadership	21
Theoretical Framework	26
Need for Further Study of the Problem	31
Procedures for Data Collection	32

Significance of the Study	32
Delimitations and Limitations of the Study	33
Limitations of the Study	34
Assumptions and Implications.....	35
Timeframe.....	36
Vocabulary of the Study	37
Summary and Forecast.....	41
CHAPTER TWO	43
Literature Review.....	43
Research Questions.....	45
Theoretical Framework.....	45
Motivation Theories.....	46
Intrinsic and Extrinsic Types of Motivation	67
Motivation in Higher Educational Leadership.....	92
Self-Efficacy Theory (Bandura, 1986)	114
Self-Determination Theory	125
Leadership Theory	130
Women's Leadership Styles	136
Barriers Preventing Women's Advance to Upper-Level Positions	152
Strategies to Overcoming Barriers.....	153
Tools and Resources for Leadership Development	172
Summary and Forecast.....	176
CHAPTER THREE	181

Research Rationale	181
Research Purpose	183
Research Approach	184
Nature of the Methodology	185
Qualitative Research Design	186
Phenomenological Approach	187
Appropriateness of the Methodology to the Research	187
Data Collection	192
Methodology: Nature of Qualitative Phenomenological Method	194
Procedures for Data Collection	198
Using Interactive Probing Questions	200
Field Notes and Memoing	201
Data Analysis	202
Triangulation and Validity	205
In-depth Interview Questions	205
Observation	206
Document Analysis	207
Validity	208
Responsibility of the Researcher	210
Chronology of Events and Procedures	214
Summary/Coherency of Design	216
Confidentiality	217
Triangulation	218

Limitations	218
Chapter Summary and Forecast	219
CHAPTER FOUR.....	221
The Research Questions.....	222
Sample Study and Procedures for Data Collection.....	222
Approach to Data Collection and Presentation	224
Validity and Reliability	227
Data Analysis	227
Sample Study Selection	228
Participants' Biography	229
Figure 8	237
<i>Demographics of Women in Higher Education Administration</i>	237
Analyzing Demographics of Women Administrators in Higher Education	237
Summary of Demographics of Women Administrators	245
Findings Related to Research Question 1:	246
Figure 9	248
<i>Experiences Influenced Women to Higher Education Administration</i>	248
Analyzing Findings about Experiences Influenced Women in Leadership.....	248
Summarizing Experience That Influenced Women to Educational Leadership.	260
Findings Related to Research Question 2:	262
Table 2	265
<i>Intrinsic Motivators Influenced Women to Educational Leadership</i>	265
Findings Relating to Research Question 2.....	268

Analyzing Intrinsic and Extrinsic Motivators Contributing to Perseverance of Women in Higher Education Administrator	268
Table 3	275
<i>Factors Contributing to Perseverance of Women Administrators</i>	275
Extrinsic Motivational Factors to Leadership	276
Table 4	276
<i>Extrinsic Motivational Factors to Leadership</i>	276
Analyzing Findings Regarding Extrinsic Motivational Factors to Leadership ..	278
Summary of Results Regarding Intrinsic and Extrinsic Motivators to Leadership	285
Findings Related to Research Question 3	286
Table 5	286
<i>Characteristics of Effective Leadership</i>	286
Attributes of Women in Higher Education Leadership	286
Behaviors, Value(s), Qualities,	286
Findings Relating to Research Question 3	288
Analyzing Results Regarding Behaviors of Effective Leadership in Higher Education	288
Leadership Behaviors Contributing to Success of Women Administration	301
Findings Related to Research Question 4	305
Challenges Facing Women in Higher Education Leadership	306
Perseverance of Women in Higher Education Administration	335
Table 6	340

<i>Strategies to Overcoming Leadership Challenges</i>	340
Resources and Tool for Career and Leadership Development	342
Findings Relating to Second Part of Research Question 4	343
Analyzing Results Regarding Tools and Resources Contributing to Development and Success of Women in Higher Education Administration	343
Mentoring.....	343
Networking	347
Goal Setting and Career Planning.....	350
Time Management and Delegation.....	350
Technology	351
Leadership Training and Professional Development.....	352
Involvement in Other Activities	354
Summarizing Resources and Tools.....	355
Summary of the Research Findings, Results, and Implications	363
CHAPTER FIVE	366
Review of Study.....	366
Purpose of the Study	368
Discussion of Conclusions	371
Conclusions Related to Research Purpose	376
Conclusions Related to Research Purpose	391
Conclusions Related to Research Purpose	411
Conclusion Related to Tools and Resources for Leadership Development.....	444
Discussion of Implications.....	445

Recommendations	456
Conclusion	457
Concluding Remarks.....	473
Bibliography	475
Appendix B Consent Form	494
Appendix C Demographic Survey	495
Appendix D: General Codes for Data Analysis.....	497
Appendix E: Demographics of Women Leaders	498
Appendix F: Education and Qualification of Women Leaders.....	499
Appendix G: Career Paths of Women Leaders.....	500
Appendix J: Philosophy and Leadership Behaviors of Women	502
In Higher Education Administration.....	502

List of Tables

Table

Page

1.	Demographics of Senior Women Administration in Higher Education	12
2.	Intrinsic Motivators Influenced Women to Educational Leadership	265
3.	Factors Contributing to Perseverance of Women Leaders	275
4.	Extrinsic Motivational Factors to Leadership	276
5.	Characteristics of Effective Leadership	286
6.	Strategies to Overcoming Challenges in Leadership	340
7.	Insights and Wisdom from Senior Educational Women Leaders	357
8.	The Accomplishments of Women in Higher Education Administration	367

List of Figures

Page	Figure	
1.	Motivation of Women in Leadership.....	22
2.	Two Main Types of Motivation	49
3.	Maslow's (1954) Hierarchy of Needs	51
4.	Herzberg's (1966) Motivation Theory	63
5.	Intrinsic and Extrinsic Types of Motivators	68
6.	Self-Efficacy and Leadership	122
7.	Barriers Overcome by Women Leaders	152
8.	Demographics of Women in Higher Education Administration	237
9.	Experiences Influenced Women's Decision to Education Leadership	248
10.	Attributes of Effective Leadership	302
11.	Summary of Intrinsic and Extrinsic Motivators	378
12.	Leadership for a Learning Organization	393
13.	Tools and Resources for Leadership Development	421
14.	Model of Value-Centered Leadership	460

CHAPTER ONE

Introduction

Throughout history, women have fought to improve their own lives and the lives of women who come after them. As educators, women challenged the comforts of familiarity to reach new understanding (Walton & McDade, 2001). Driven by the women's movement, affirmative action, feminism, and women's strong work ethic and abilities, women made great strides and have become more visible within the workforce, increased their numbers at colleges, and made some inroads to university presidencies (American Council on Education, 2008).

Traditionally, the administration of higher education has been a male-dominated field. However, starting with the first female president of the American Council on Education to the first female and Hispanic president of Texas A&M University, women have made some progress. A 2008 report from the American Council on Education showed that women earn 58 % of the bachelor degrees and 45 % of the doctoral degrees granted to students in higher education institutions in the United States. Currently, women hold 57 % of faculty and senior administrative staff, but research shows that women continue to be underrepresented in high level education administrative positions (American Council on Education, 2012).

In spite of that, the literature shows how women have strived and gained leadership ranks in government and business organizations. However, one place where women's contribution has not yet been fully recognized as a significant force in decision making is in education institution. Still several studies have clearly shown that women continue to be underrepresented in administrative positions in higher educational

institutions (American Council on Education, 2012; Madsen, 2007; Valdata, Mendoza, Luma, & Hawkin, 2008). Based on that information, more research was needed to explore and determine experiences that influenced success of executive women leaders in higher education administration.

Although women continue to be underrepresented in upper administrative positions, the statistics show that women earn more than half of all doctorate degrees granted to students in higher education. According to the American Association of University Professors (2008) report, women hold only 45 % of tenure-track faculty. Women occupy comparatively few presidencies. A 2007 national survey by the American Council on Education found that women hold only 23 % of higher education institution presidencies. Female presidents are largely concentrated at community colleges and are least likely to head independent and/or research universities.

Though women have made some progress over the past decades, it remains clear that women, in particular ethnic women leaders in administrative positions, are underrepresented at all levels of higher educational institutions (American Council on Education, 2008; Valdata, Mendoza, Luma, & Hawkin, 2008). In spite of challenges facing women, they are determined to improve their own lives and the lives of women who come after them. Their efforts can be recognized from the beginning of the first women's rights movement to vote, which was won in 1920. According to previous studies, White (2003) showed that significant efforts have been made through government regulations, affirmative actions, and education training to increase women's participation in the workforce, leadership, and management.

On the other hand, despite the increasing number of women earning doctorates, research shows that the number of women in full professorship or university presidencies does not reflect the increase of women in higher education. A 2006 report by the American Association of university Professors showed that women represent only 19.3 % of full professor tenured positions at doctoral degree-granting institutions, and only 28.3 % of women professors were at master's degree granting institutions. In addition, women (AAUP, 2006) hold only 46.9 % at associate's degree-granting institutions. The research shows the number of women faculty earning doctorates has increased over the past 30 years. However, previous researchers agreed that most of women in academia are appointed in part-time and non-tenure-track positions (e.g. Chronister, Gransneder, Harper, & Baldwin, 1997; Lomperis, 1990).

Traditionally, women come to the university presidency through the career path of an academic scholar of a faculty and move up to upper-level positions leading to a university president. A survey data of 2,297 university presidents conducted by Bimbaum and Umbach (2001) revealed that out of 2,297 female presidents surveyed, 63.3 % of college presidents came to their positions through the traditional career path of an academic scholar. However, if women are not advancing their careers in higher education, it might be very difficult for them to achieve high-level leadership positions leading to a university presidency.

This study sought to explore the life experiences of 11 successful executive women leaders in higher education. The researcher's interest was to discover experiences that influenced women to pursue leadership roles in higher education and understand what assisted and helped women persevere and succeed in high-level education

administrative positions. Initially, a 2006 survey conducted by the American Council on Education found that in 1986, women held only 9.5 % of all universities presidents in the United States. As years past, the %ages of women in higher education administration increased. In 1998 the %ages of women had more than doubled; women held 19.3 % of university presidents in 1998. The research shows that if the growth rate of women has been persistent over the past 30 years, women would be almost half of all college and university presidents in the United States.

Despite their small %ages, this study acknowledges that women have made good progress in achieving senior and executive positions in higher education. The American Council on Education (2007) reported that in 2006 women held only 23 % of university presidencies. However, a recent 2012 report of the American Council on Education showed that the %ages of women rose from 23 % of presidents in 2006 to 26.4 % in 2011. Those results clearly show that the %age of women administrators has been growing slowly, but steadily over the past years. Hence, discovering the life experiences of successful executive women leaders was important to increase career aspirations of young leaders, especially women.

A 2012 report by the American Council on Education showed that today, 60 % of university presidents are 61 years or older. That rate is significantly higher from 2006 (American Council on Education, 2012). Currently, there is an urgent need for qualified leaders in higher education to replace retiring senior administrators in American colleges and universities in the United States. Several studies have shown that women will increase in numbers at the highest level of high education over time (Lepkowski, 2009). This study sought to encourage those interested in academic leadership to give it a full

consideration. Since 1996, women have been earning over 40 % of doctorates, and today, women earn about 58 % of all bachelor degrees and 45 % of all doctorates granted to students in higher education institutions in the United States (U.S. Department of Education, 2005).

Achieving higher-level positions is more difficult for women than men. Cullen and Luna (1993) showed that women leaders deal with barriers that men do not even think exist. Exploring the life experiences of successful executive women leaders was important to understand what assisted university presidents to break through the glass ceiling and overcome barriers that oftentimes limit or prevent women from advancing their careers to upper-level positions. And for that reason, this research study was important. Bilen-Green, Froelich, and Jacobson (2008) suggested that hearing the voices of women who lead in higher education is important because leaders' perspectives and experiences become more visible by listening to stories as lived and experienced by other individuals.

Capton and Slick (1996) stated, "We do not need to worry as much about motivating women to be administrators. What is needed is to build support systems for them to compensate for the obstacles they still face just because they are women" (p. 10). The challenges facing women aspiring to administrative roles can be so many that sometimes they prevent women's efforts to make it to the top level positions in education, government, profit and nonprofit business organizations. Women would quickly advance and develop their careers if the invisible barriers and obstacles are removed.

The literature shows that women are determined and succeeding. According to Eckel, Cook, and King (2009) report, women represent 40 % of senior academic officers in higher education administration. However, at the university presidential level, the representation of women rose from 23 % of presidents in 2006 to 26.4 % in 2011. That rate shows significant progress women have made in achieving high-level education administrative positions in the United States. Whether this is seen as women's efforts to achieve their goals or increasing participation of women in education administration and organizational leadership, both are significantly important.

Harrow (1993) stated, "Leadership, when dominated by one segment of society, suffers from a narrow perspective, a lack of richness of ideas and ideals" (p. 146). So, with that in mind, this study sought to explore ways that could assist to increase women's aspirations and participation in leadership. That does not mean that men do not need to aspire and achieve leadership positions. However, as the American College President (2012) report revealed, the demographics of higher education leaders has changed very slowly during the past three decades. Hence, exploring the experiences of senior and/or executive women leaders in high-level education administrative positions was important to recognize their accomplishments, increase their visibility, and open doors for women and future leaders.

According to recent 2012 report of the American Council on Education, the data shows that on average 60 % of university presidents are 61 years or older (2012). That rate is significantly higher from 2006. As the previous report showed, the high population of aging and retiring presidents creates an opportunity for individuals, especially women who are interested in changing careers or moving up to upper-level